



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/713,643	11/15/2000	John E. Gavlik	P04762	3643

7590 12/31/2003

John L. Maxin
National Semiconductor Corporation
801 East Campbell Road
Suite 525
Richardson, TX 75081

EXAMINER

PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2154

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/713,643

Applicant(s)

GAVLIK ET AL.

Examiner

Ashok B. Patel

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Application Number 09/713,643 was filed on 11/15/2000. Claims 1-22 are subject to examination.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. In Detailed Description of the Invention, page 12, line 10, hard disk drive 105 is identified in the drawing by reference character 150. Appropriate correction is required.
 - b. In Detailed Description of the Invention, page 26, lines 6 and 7 where the RAM addresses are identified which do not match with the corresponding addresses in Fig. 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper (US 6,182,238) in view of Johnson et al. (hereinafter Johnson) (US 4,530,051).

Art Unit: 2154

Referring to claims 1 and 2,

The reference Cooper teaches the buses to which the microcontroller can be connected such as peripheral component interface (PCI) or special purpose buses, thereby the reference suggests that the microcontroller can be used on a network interface card providing an interface between the network and the PCI bus. (Fig. 1 and col.5, lines 28-33). The reference also discloses microcontroller which is capable of executing multitasking control program (firmware instructions, task initialization and service routines) with first memory (ROM 200) capable of storing multitasking control program including service routines, and second memory (RAM 202) capable of storing plurality of multitasking vectors (operational parameters, task execution flags, task status flags) associated with multitasking control program (firmware instructions, task initialization and service routines). Also the reference teaches the communication taking place between the first memory (ROM 200) and the second memory (RAM 202) (col.3, lines 15-65 and Fig. 2). Although, Copper teaches, the first memory includes microcontroller firmware instructions, task initialization and service routines, it fails to explicitly teach multitasking control program comprising a main routine and a plurality of subroutines callable by the main routine, and a routine of first memory calling a subroutine and upon encountering a decision point that is not yet capable of being decided, updates the vector with the address of decision point associated with the subroutine and transferring the program execution control back to the main routine. The reference Johnson teaches the process (main routine) comprising of a collection of procedures (a plurality of

Art Unit: 2154

subroutines), each performing some subtask of the process. The reference goes on teaching that the process for the needed data can call these procedures where the data is exchanged via stack (memory data structure) (col.4, lines 60-68 and col.5, lines 1-24). The reference also teaches that the procedure can be placed into dormant state (a decision point that is not yet capable of being decided) and the status message of the procedure is updated (updating the vector of the subroutine) and then the process can resume another procedure by calling and transitioning to execution state. After the completion of another procedure, the process can come back to the dormant procedure to continue the execution from where it left the procedure. (col. 7, lines 10-68 and col.8, lines 1-28, Figs.) and 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a network interface card with a microcontroller including multitasking control program comprising the main routine and a plurality of subroutines callable by main routine in the first memory as taught by the references and providing multitasking vectors in the second memory associated with the multitasking control program where the status of the subroutines is updated periodically for coming back to check it's progress of execution because if one subroutine fails to terminate the microcontroller becomes incapable of executing any further instructions as taught by Cooper.

Referring to claims 3, 4, 5 and 6,

The reference Cooper teaches the first memory as being a read-only memory (ROM) (Fig.2, element 200), the second memory as being a random access

Art Unit: 2154

memory (RAM) (Fig.2, element 202), and both, ROM and RAM memories are internal to the microcontroller (Fig.2). The reference also teaches ROM as being an external device coupled to microcontroller through secondary bus. (Fig. 1, elements 102, 134 and 124).

Referring to claims 7 and 8,

The reference cooper teaches that the components such as dispatch and watch dog timers can be incorporated within the microcontroller or be external to the microcontroller. It also teaches that a computer processor can also perform the methods performed by the microcontroller. It also teaches the various memory storage devices that may be used with a microcontroller. (col.5, lines 26-42). Thereby, it teaches that the first memory and second memory needed for storing and executing the microcontroller control program routines and subroutines (microcontroller firmware instructions, task initialization and service routines) may be RAM or ROM or a combination of both, which can be externally or internally coupled to a microcontroller.

Referring to claims 9 and 10,

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims 1 and 2, and the reference Cooper's teaching of a processing system including a data processor. (Fig. 1 element 104).

Referring to claims 11, 12, 13 and 14,

Art Unit: 2154

Claims 11, 12, 13 and 14 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Referring to claims 15 and 16,

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims 7 and 8.

Referring to claims 17 and 18,

Claims 17 and 18 are the methods of the claims 1 and 2. Therefore claims 17 and 18 are rejected for the reasons set forth for claims 1 and 2.

Referring to claims 19, 20, 21 and 22,

Claims 19, 20, 21 and 22 are the methods of the claims 3, 4, 5 and 6. Therefore claims 19, 20, 21 and 22 are rejected for the reasons set forth for claims 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305-2655. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.


Abp



JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100